Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/463,536	08/18/2000	Jean Morelle	DEM-1	6455
20311 7	7590 04/27/2004		EXAMINER	
	N AND LUCAS AND M	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW IURK,	141 10010		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	the theory and the address of the same and t
	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	A CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH(S) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS	SSET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a	). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Janu	<u>iary 2004</u> .
20) This action is FINAI 2b) ⊠ This ac	ction is non-final.
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Closed III doordanies www.p-	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) <u>15-17,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration.
5) Claim(s) <u>15,17,19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.	
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10\□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	oted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.65(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	1 11 and 25 11 5 C & 110(2) (d) 0r (f)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	nonty under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)-(d) of (i).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been received
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No  by documents have been received in this National Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the phone	(PCT Pule 17 2/a))
application from the International Bureau	(FC) Rule 17.2(a)).  If the certified conies not received.
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	in the continue copies has recently
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	<del>-</del> ·

as I I au...

1 314 - I IIIIXIIII GOODOGO STATELLI CONTRACTOR CONTRAC

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 16 filed 1/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See argument below.

- I. Applicant argues that DeMil teaches nothing about increasing the sugar content of grapes. Applicant further argues that declaration of DeMil states that copper salts of caprylic amino acids are more effective than copper salts of butyric amino acids. Examiner argues that DeMil teaches the application of copper capylglycinate (copper salt of caprylic amino acid) to grape crops. See column 2 lines 55-60, column 3 lines 3-7, column 3 line 40 column 4 line 3. It is inherent that DeMil's application would increase sugar content of grapes since DeMil uses the same composition (copper salt of caprylic amino acid) to treat the same population (grapes) as in instant application.
- II. Applicant argues that DeMil teaches increasing floral fertility by treating plants between floral induction and the end of inflorescene. Applicant argues that the number of grapes are increased during this period. Applicant argues that this is opposite to the instant invention which is directed to increasing the sugar content of grapes. Applicant also argues that it is well known in the art in order to increase sugar production, the number of grapes on the vine must be decreased. Applicant further argues that the instant invention discloses that the vines of the grapes are treated when the grapes are on the vine. Examiner argues that Applicant provides no support for treating the vines of grapes when grapes are on the vine. In addition, since the periods including the end of flowering to the formation of grapes is not distinguished, DeMil teaches treating the vine when grapes are on the vine.

III. Claims 15,17,19,20 are allowable for reason on record

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALTONIN. PRYOR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616